GLOBAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVES JOURNAL

Integrated Mental Health and Social Support for High-Risk Opioid Users in the United States

Aisha Katsina Isa
University of Illinois Springfield, USA

* Corresponding Author: **Aisha Katsina Isa**

Article Info

Abstract
The opioid crisis remains one of the most pressing public health challenges in the United States. Rising mortality rates, widespread mental health issues, and ongoing social disadvantages have put many individuals at increased risk of overdose. Those struggling with depression, trauma, anxiety, unstable housing, poverty, or recent involvement in the criminal justice system face some of the highest risks. Evidence indicates that treatment systems often operate in isolation, leaving individuals without the coordinated care necessary to stay safe and engaged in recovery. This paper examines research published between 2015 and 2025 to explore how mental health services and social support programs can work together to reduce overdose deaths. The studies reviewed describe interventions that combine counseling, psychiatric care, trauma-informed treatment, peer support, case management, and practical assistance, such as housing and community resources. These approaches consistently demonstrate better treatment engagement, fewer repeated overdoses, and improvements in emotional well-being and daily functioning. National initiatives also emphasize the importance of addressing the broader social conditions that impact health.

Using this evidence, the paper proposes a public health framework that places mental health care and social support at the center of overdose prevention efforts. By focusing on both clinical and social needs, this approach offers a practical and compassionate path toward reducing overdose mortality and improving the quality of life for those at the highest risk. The opioid crisis remains one of the most pressing public health challenges in the United States. Rising mortality rates, widespread mental health issues, and ongoing social disadvantages have put many individuals at increased risk of overdose. Those struggling with depression, trauma, anxiety, unstable housing, poverty, or recent involvement in the criminal justice system face some of the highest risks. Evidence indicates that treatment systems often operate in isolation, leaving individuals without the coordinated care necessary to stay safe and engaged in recovery.

Introduction
The United States continues to face a severe opioid crisis that has affected nearly every region of the country. Overdose deaths remain at historic levels and have contributed to declining life expectancy in working-age adults (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2022) [10] (Saloner *et al*, 2018) [14]. This crisis is shaped not only by the potency of synthetic opioids but also by deep social and economic pressures that influence how people use substances and how they connect to care (Jalali *et al*., 2020) [8] (Office of the Surgeon General, 2016) [11].

Many individuals at the highest risk of overdose live with untreated mental health conditions, trauma, unstable housing, or the long-term effects of incarceration (Bohnert & Ilgen, 2019) [1] (Byrne *et al*., 2024) [2]. These challenges intensify vulnerability and increase the likelihood of harmful substance use.

Structural barriers such as stigma, discrimination, limited insurance coverage, and gaps in care during transitions from jail or prison further contribute to poor outcomes (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2022) [4] (Joudrey *et al*., 2019) [9].

Although there is growing recognition that overdose risk is shaped by both clinical and social factors, treatment systems often remain fragmented. Mental health care, addiction treatment, housing support, and community services frequently operate within separate agencies with limited coordination (Saloner et al., 2018) [14] (Office of the Surgeon General, 2018) [12]. As a result, individuals with complex needs must navigate disconnected systems, leading to missed appointments, interrupted treatment, and a lack of support during critical moments (Community Commons, 2021) [7] (Overdose Response Strategy, 2023) [13].

This paper reviews research published between 2015 and 2025 on integrated mental health and social support interventions. These programs combine counseling, psychiatric services, trauma-informed care, peer support, housing assistance, and case management. Across diverse settings, integrated interventions have improved treatment engagement, reduced the frequency of repeated overdoses, and strengthened community connections (Byrne et al., 2024) [2] (Campbell et al., 2023) [3]. The review highlights the need to view overdose not only as a clinical event but as an outcome shaped by a person's broader life context.

Methods

A narrative synthesis approach was used to examine mental health and social support interventions for individuals at high risk of overdose. This method is well-suited to diverse bodies of literature, drawn from policy reports, community evaluations, and peer-reviewed studies (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2022) [10]. It allows for an integrated interpretation of evidence across systems that rarely operate under controlled conditions.

Sources included peer-reviewed journal articles, federal reports, and public health evaluations published between 2015 and 2025 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2022) [4] (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2022) [16]. Only U.S.-based sources were included. To be eligible, interventions need to address both mental health needs and social support. Programs that focused solely on medication treatment or law enforcement were excluded (Campbell et al., 2023) [3] (Saloner et al., 2018) [14].

Themes were identified by examining mental health components, social support elements, population focus, and outcomes. The review also considered broader factors such as poverty, homelessness, and inequities in access to care (Jalali et al., 2020) [8]. This process enabled the identification of common patterns across literature and guided the development of the proposed framework.

Results

Studies consistently show that emotional distress, unstable social conditions, and lack of coordinated care elevate overdose risk (Bohnert & Ilgen, 2019) [1] (Byrne et al., 2024) [2]. Trauma, depression, social isolation, and unstable housing increase the likelihood of repeated overdoses and make treatment engagement difficult.

Evidence is robust for people transitioning from incarceration. The period immediately after release is associated with sharply increased overdose risk due to disruptions in treatment and lack of support (Joudrey et al., 2019) [9]. Housing, mental health care, peer support, and harm reduction services serve as protective factors during this vulnerable period.

Broader structural factors also influence overdose risk. Social ecological analyses reveal that poverty, unemployment, community instability, and limited access to services significantly influence daily stress and coping behaviors (Jalali et al., 2020) [8] (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2022) [10]. These pressures reinforce the need for interventions that address both individual needs and environmental conditions.

Overall, the evidence suggests that programs combining emotional support, coordinated treatment, housing assistance, and community resources can improve safety, treatment engagement, and stability for individuals at the highest risk (Campbell et al., 2023) [3] (Community Commons, 2021) [7].

Discussion

Mental health care and social support are central to reducing overdose mortality. Fragmented systems require people to navigate disconnected services, resulting in gaps that increase risk (Saloner et al., 2018) [14] (Office of the Surgeon General, 2016) [11]. Integrated approaches enhance engagement and foster continuity across various settings.

Mental health conditions such as depression, anxiety, and trauma significantly influence overdose vulnerability (Bohnert & Ilgen, 2019) [1] (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2020) [15]. Programs that combine psychiatric care with substance use treatment demonstrate stronger outcomes (Campbell et al., 2023) [3].

Social support is equally critical. Peer navigation, recovery coaching, and supportive relationships help individuals remain engaged and develop trust in the system (Community Commons, 2021) [7] (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2016) [16]. Housing stability enhances outcomes and reduces the likelihood of repeated overdoses (Byrne et al., 2024) [2].

People returning from incarceration face an extremely high risk. Coordinated programs that link individuals to mental health services, housing, peer support, and harm reduction services significantly reduce mortality (Joudrey et al., 2019) [9] (Overdose Response Strategy, 2023) [13].

Structural drivers such as poverty, racism, discrimination, and unstable housing shape overdose trends across communities (Jalali et al., 2020) [8] (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2022) [10]. Addressing these determinants is essential for lasting change.

Taken together, integrated mental health care, social support, housing stability, and harm reduction form a comprehensive public health response capable of reducing overdose mortality and improving long-term well-being.

Limitations

This review has several limitations. The evidence varies in study design and strength. Many programs rely on observational or community-based research rather than controlled trials (Campbell et al., 2023) [3] (Saloner et al., 2018) [14]. Community-specific interventions limit generalizability to all regions (Community Commons, 2021) [7] (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2022) [10]. Evidence disproportionately reflects high-risk populations such as individuals experiencing homelessness or incarceration (Byrne et al., 2024) [2] (Joudrey et al., 2019) [9]. Self-reported data introduces potential recall bias. The rapidly evolving synthetic drug supply continues to

shift overdose patterns, meaning future needs may differ (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2023) [5] (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2022) [16].

Despite these limitations, literature provides a strong foundation for understanding how mental health care, social support, and coordinated systems reduce overdose risk.

Policy Recommendations

Effective overdose prevention requires policies that strengthen mental health care, expand social support, and address the social conditions that shape risk. Several actions can help communities build coordinated and equitable responses.

Public health agencies should expand integrated behavioral health programs combining mental health and substance use services. Evidence suggests that addressing both emotional and clinical needs simultaneously improves outcomes (Campbell *et al*., 2023) [3] (Saloner *et al*., 2018) [14]. Long-term funding is needed to sustain these programs (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2022) [16].

Policymakers should invest in recovery support services, including peer navigation, outreach, case management, and practical assistance. These programs help individuals stay connected to treatment during periods of instability (Community Commons, 2021) [7] (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Surgeon General, 2016) [11].

Housing must be recognized as a public health intervention. Individuals experiencing homelessness face substantially higher overdose risk due to chronic stress and limited access to care (Byrne *et al*., 2024) [2]. Policies that expand recovery housing, supportive housing, and rental assistance should be prioritized (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2022) [10].

Support for individuals returning from incarceration must be strengthened. The period after release is among the highest risk for fatal overdose (Joudrey *et al*., 2019) [9]. Programs that coordinate behavioral health services, medication continuation, housing, and employment support reduce preventable deaths (Overdose Response Strategy, 2023) [13].

Harm reduction services should be widely integrated into health systems. Naloxone, safer use education, syringe services, and community-based supports increase survival and foster trust (Bohnert & Ilgen, 2019) [1] (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2023) [5].

Policies must address the social determinants that shape overdose vulnerability. Poverty, trauma, discrimination, and limited access to care drive inequality in outcomes (Jalali *et al*., 2020) [8] (Saloner *et al*., 2018) [14]. Expanding coverage, strengthening public health capacity, and ensuring equitable services can reduce disparities.

Cross-sector collaboration should be a national priority. Partnerships across public health, housing, behavioral health, hospitals, and justice systems align resources and reduce mortality (Community Commons, 2021) [7] (Overdose Response Strategy, 2023) [13].

Conclusion

The evidence presented in this review demonstrates that mental health care and social support are essential elements of overdose prevention in the United States. Individuals at most significant risk often experience emotional distress, unstable housing, trauma, poverty, and fragmented care systems (Byrne *et al*., 2024) [2] (Jalali *et al*., 2020) [8]. When these needs remain unaddressed, the likelihood of overdose increases, and treatment becomes difficult to sustain.

Integrated approaches combining counseling, psychiatric care, peer support, housing, and coordinated community resources create conditions that promote safety and long-term recovery (Campbell *et al*., 2023) [3] (Saloner *et al*., 2018) [14]. The literature shows that overdose prevention is not only a clinical issue but also a social and structural one (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2022) [10] (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2022) [16].

By strengthening partnerships across systems and investing in integrated care, communities can reduce mortality and improve well-being for people most affected by the opioid crisis (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2022) [4] (Overdose Response Strategy, 2023) [13].

References

1. Bohnert ASB, Ilgen MA. Understanding links among opioid use, overdose, and suicide. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(1):71-9. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1802148.

2. Byrne CJ, Sani F, Thain D, *et al*. Psychosocial factors associated with overdose after illicit drug use: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. Harm Reduct J. 2024;21:81. doi:10.1186/s12954-024-00999-8.

3. Campbell CI, Bahorik AL, Satre DD, *et al*. Reducing opioid related deaths for individuals who are at high risk: a review of clinical and public health approaches. Front Public Health. 2023;11:1175527. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2023.1080629.

4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Achieving health equity around overdoses. Atlanta (GA): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/overdose-prevention/health-equity/achieving-health-equity-around-overdoses.html.

5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Drug overdose prevention: evidence-based strategies. Atlanta (GA): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2023. https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/prevention/index.html.

6. Chhatwal J, He T, Chen Q, *et al*. Estimated reductions in opioid overdose deaths with the sustainment of public health interventions. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(7):e2324157. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.24157.

7. Community Commons. Taking action to end overdose deaths: a community-centered framework. Community Commons; 2021.

8. Jalali MS, Botticelli M, Hwang RC, Koh HK, McHugh RK. The opioid crisis: a contextual, social-ecological framework. Health Res Policy Syst. 2020;18:87. doi:10.1186/s12961-020-00596-8.

9. Joudrey PJ, Khan MR, Wang EA, Scheidell JD, McInnes DK, Fox AD. A conceptual model for understanding post-release opioid-related overdose risk. Addict Sci Clin Pract. 2019;14:17. doi:10.1186/s13722-019-0145-5.

10. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. High and rising mortality rates among working-age adults. Washington (DC): The National Academies Press; 2022. doi:10.17226/26773.

11. Office of the Surgeon General. Facing addiction in America: The Surgeon General's report on alcohol,

drugs, and health. Rockville (MD): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2016. https://addiction.surgeongeneral.gov/sites/default/files/surgeon-generals-report.pdf.

12. Office of the Surgeon General. Facing addiction in America: the role of health systems in improving quality of care. Rockville (MD): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2018. https://addiction.surgeongeneral.gov/2018-role-of-health-systems-report.

13. Overdose Response Strategy. Reducing drug overdose deaths through strategic partnerships. High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program & CDC; 2023.

14. Saloner B, McGinty EE, Barry CL. A public health strategy for the opioid crisis. Public Health Rep. 2018;133(1 Suppl):24S-34S. doi:10.1177/0033354918793627.

15. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Mental health and substance use disorder: guidance for state and community programs. Rockville (MD): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2020. https://www.samhsa.gov.

16. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Overdose prevention and harm reduction framework. Washington (DC): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2022. https://www.hhs.gov/overdose-prevention/

How to Cite This Article

Creative Commons (CC) License