



Assessment of Legal Frameworks and Policies in Supporting Career Counseling for Inclusivity

Micheal Ayorinde Adenuga ^{1*}, Oluwafunmibi Grace Ajakaye ²

¹ Independent Researcher, South Africa

² American University, Washington DC, USA

* Corresponding Author: Micheal Ayorinde Adenuga

Article Info

ISSN (online): 3107-3972

Volume: 01

Issue: 01

January-February 2024

Received: 12-01-2024

Accepted: 13-02-2024

Published: 25-02-2024

Page No: 16-23

Abstract

This paper explores the international landscape of legal frameworks and policies shaping inclusive career counseling. It analyzes diverse approaches in countries such as the European Union, the United States, Canada, Australia, and the United Kingdom. Recommendations focus on enhancing legal clarity, strengthening enforcement mechanisms, adapting to evolving work landscapes, promoting cultural competency, addressing socioeconomic disparities, encouraging research, and fostering international collaboration. The paper underscores the importance of cross-sector cooperation, public awareness, and continuous professional development. By implementing these recommendations, governments can fortify legal structures, fostering inclusivity in career counseling and ensuring equitable opportunities for individuals navigating diverse professional pathways globally.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/GMPJ.2024.1.1.16-23>

Keywords: Inclusive Career Counseling, Legal Frameworks, Policies, Global Perspectives, Equity in Employment

1. Introduction

Career counseling is pivotal in guiding individuals through the intricate process of making informed and meaningful career choices (Blustein, 2013; Savickas, 2013; Savickas *et al.*, 2009). In recent years, increasing attention has been directed towards assessing legal frameworks and policies governing career counseling, focusing on fostering inclusivity (Bertot, Jaeger, & Hansen, 2012). This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive review of the existing legal landscape surrounding career counseling, analyzing its implications for inclusivity. Understanding the legal foundations that underpin career guidance is imperative for addressing potential disparities and ensuring that individuals from diverse backgrounds have equal access to opportunities (Thompson, 2024).

The primary purpose of this review is to critically examine the effectiveness and scope of current legal frameworks and policies supporting career counseling with a lens on inclusivity. By assessing the legal landscape, we aim to identify strengths, weaknesses, and potential areas for improvement in the existing regulatory frameworks. Through this scrutiny, we intend to contribute to the ongoing discourse on the intersection of legal structures and career counseling, shedding light on how the law can be leveraged to advance inclusivity and equity in career-related decision-making.

In the contemporary landscape, the importance of inclusivity in career counseling cannot be overstated. Inclusivity goes beyond acknowledging diversity; it creates an environment where individuals from all backgrounds, regardless of gender, race, socioeconomic status, or other defining factors, have equal access to resources, information, and opportunities. Career counseling, as a facilitator of professional growth, must align with principles of inclusivity to ensure that everyone can pursue and attain their career goals without encountering systemic barriers (Hairston, 2015; Hoppey & McLeskey, 2013). This paper will delve into the nuanced ways legal frameworks either foster or impede inclusivity within career counseling, aiming to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on how these frameworks can be optimized to serve a diverse population better.

2. Legal Framework and Policies

Legal frameworks and policies are integral components shaping the landscape of career counseling, wielding significant influence over accessibility, equity, and quality of services (Clark & Wallace, 2015; Niessen & Huddleston, 2009). This exploration delves into the intricacies of existing legal structures governing career counseling, categorizing and analyzing relevant local, national, and international laws. Furthermore, this discussion highlights recent developments or changes in legal frameworks, emphasizing their implications for the evolving field of career counseling.

At the local level, legal frameworks for career counseling are often embedded within educational and labor laws. These laws delineate the responsibilities of educational institutions and employers in facilitating career development. For example, the United States Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) emphasizes providing comprehensive career guidance services to students, ensuring they are well-informed about various career paths (Ambers-Phillips, 2015; Reese, 2021; Woodard, 2015). National legislations globally reflect the commitment to providing equitable access to education and employment. In India, the National Career Service (NCS) is a comprehensive initiative that leverages technology to connect job seekers with employment opportunities (Abraham & Sasikumar, 2018; Chadha, Gambhir, & Mahavidyalya, 2018; Kumar).

Similarly, the United Kingdom's Education Act 2011 outlines the duty of schools to provide impartial career guidance to students, promoting informed decision-making (Fuller, McCrum, & Macfadyen, 2014). On the international stage, legal frameworks such as the International Labour Organization's (ILO) Convention on Vocational Guidance and Placement (No. 142) underscore the importance of vocational guidance services in facilitating the transition from school to work (Kamiri, 2021; Marope, Chakroun, & Holmes, 2015). These frameworks contribute to a global understanding of the significance of career counseling in promoting inclusive and sustainable economic development.

2.1. Categorization of Laws and Policies

At the local level, educational laws are pivotal in shaping career counseling services within schools and colleges. These regulations are a foundation for educational institutions to integrate comprehensive career guidance programs into their curriculum, ensuring that students receive the necessary support for informed career decisions. Additionally, labor laws at the local level contribute to the career development landscape by establishing policies that govern workplace practices. These laws often include provisions that promote employee career advancement, further strengthening the connection between education and the workforce at the grassroots level (Blustein, 2013; Thompson, 2024).

Moving to the national level, employment acts become instrumental in fostering equal opportunities and fair treatment within the workplace. These legislations aim to eliminate discrimination and create an environment conducive to professional growth and development for all individuals. Simultaneously, education acts outline the responsibilities of educational institutions in providing practical career guidance. Together, these national-level regulations create a framework that bridges the gap between education and employment, fostering a conducive environment for individuals to navigate their career paths successfully.

On the international stage, global standards are set by organizations such as the International Labour Organization (ILO) through international labor standards. These standards establish norms for career-related services worldwide, encouraging countries to align their policies with universally accepted practices. Furthermore, like the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), human rights declarations emphasize the fundamental right to work and education without discrimination. These declarations create a more equitable and inclusive global employment landscape by addressing career-related aspects at the international level (Alfredsson, 2001; Alfreðsson & Eide, 1999; Brown, 2016).

2.2. Discussion of Relevant Laws and Policies

At the local level, laws often target specific challenges faced by communities. In the United States, the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) prioritizes services for individuals facing barriers to employment, including those with disabilities. This showcases a local effort to address nuanced challenges through targeted legislation. National laws, such as Australia's National Career Development Strategy, focus on providing a strategic vision for career development nationwide (Mack & Dunham, 2021; Winsor *et al.*, 2017). This comprehensive approach involves the government, education sector, and industry collaboration to ensure a cohesive and effective career counseling ecosystem (Wolf, 2016). Internationally, the European Lifelong Guidance Policy Network (ELGPN) emphasizes the importance of lifelong guidance in navigating today's dynamic labor markets (Barnes, Bimrose, Brown, Kettunen, & Vuorinen, 2020). It promotes the integration of career guidance into educational and labor policies across European countries, reflecting a collaborative effort to enhance career counseling services on a continental scale.

Recent developments in legal frameworks for career counseling reflect an increased recognition of the need for adaptability in the face of evolving work landscapes. For instance, technology integration in career counseling has prompted updates to existing laws. Countries like Canada have amended regulations to accommodate virtual career counseling services, ensuring accessibility during the digital age. Furthermore, the recognition of the mental health implications of career-related stress has led to amendments in policies. For example, the UK's Health and Safety Executive emphasizes employers' duty to address work-related stress, recognizing the role of career counseling in promoting overall well-being (Totterdill, 2017). In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, some nations have expedited the adoption of remote work policies, necessitating adjustments in career counseling frameworks to cater to the changing dynamics of the job market (Amankwah-Amoah, Khan, Wood, & Knight, 2021; Gould & Gallagher, 2020). These shifts underscore the importance of legal frameworks that can swiftly adapt to unforeseen challenges.

3. Inclusivity in Career Counseling

Inclusivity in career counseling is a concept that transcends mere acknowledgment of diversity (Stewart & Valian, 2018); it encapsulates creating an environment where individuals from all backgrounds, regardless of gender, race, socioeconomic status, or other defining factors, have equal access to resources, information, and opportunities. This exploration delves into the nuanced understanding of

inclusivity in career counseling, examining the role of legal frameworks and policies in fostering or hindering inclusivity. It also discusses the challenges and gaps in existing legal frameworks, shedding light on areas that require attention and improvement to promote a genuinely inclusive career counseling environment.

3.1. Defining Inclusivity in Career Counseling

Inclusivity in career counseling signifies a commitment to creating an environment that values and respects the diverse identities and experiences of individuals seeking career guidance. It involves recognizing and addressing systemic barriers that might impede certain groups from accessing, participating in, and benefiting from career counseling services (Shore, Cleveland, & Sanchez, 2018). Inclusive career counseling acknowledges the unique challenges of individuals from underrepresented backgrounds and strives to provide tailored support to ensure equitable opportunities for all.

Legal frameworks and policies play a crucial role in shaping the inclusivity landscape within career counseling. By establishing guidelines, mandates, and protections, these legal instruments can either facilitate or impede the realization of inclusive practices. For example, laws that explicitly prohibit discrimination based on gender, race, or disability contribute to inclusivity by ensuring fair treatment and equal access to career counseling services (Collins, 2003; Thompson, 2020). Moreover, legal frameworks can set expectations for educational institutions and employers regarding providing inclusive career counseling. For instance, laws mandating comprehensive career guidance in schools create a foundation for early exposure and awareness, fostering an inclusive mindset from an early age (Villa, 2016). These legal directives provide a roadmap for practitioners, signaling the importance of considering diverse perspectives in designing and delivering career counseling programs.

3.2. Challenges and Gaps in Existing Legal Frameworks

Despite the positive influence legal frameworks can have on inclusivity, challenges and gaps persist, reflecting areas where improvements are needed. One significant challenge is legal language's potential ambiguity or lack of specificity. While some laws broadly address discrimination, they may not explicitly encompass the nuanced challenges faced by specific groups, such as the LGBTQ+ community or individuals with intersectional identities. The result is a potential oversight in tailoring career counseling services to address the unique needs of these populations (Adam, 2017; Nourafshan, 2016; Schmitz, Coley, Thomas, & Ramirez, 2022). Furthermore, enforcement mechanisms and accountability measures within legal frameworks are crucial for ensuring that inclusivity is not just a theoretical concept but a practical reality. In some cases, existing laws lack robust mechanisms for monitoring and addressing non-compliance, diminishing their impact on promoting inclusivity in career counseling.

Another challenge lies in the adaptability of legal frameworks to the rapidly evolving nature of diversity and inclusion considerations. Emerging issues, such as neurodiversity-related or non-traditional career paths, may not be explicitly addressed in existing legal structures. This highlights the need for legal frameworks to be dynamic, incorporating amendments and updates that reflect the evolving understanding of inclusivity in career counseling. Moreover,

the intersectionality of identities adds complexity to the challenge of inclusivity (Marfelt, 2016). Legal frameworks that primarily focus on one aspect of diversity may inadvertently overlook the compounded challenges individuals face with intersecting identities. Enhancing inclusivity requires a comprehensive approach considering the interconnected nature of various factors shaping an individual's career experiences (De Vos, Van der Heijden, & Akkermans, 2020; Syed & Özbilgin, 2009).

4. Impact and Effectiveness

4.1. Impact of Legal Frameworks on Career Counseling Effectiveness

Legal frameworks and policies act as guiding principles, shaping the parameters within which career counseling programs operate. The impact of these legal structures is profound, influencing the overall effectiveness of career guidance services. One key aspect is providing a regulatory framework that ensures standardized and equitable access to career counseling resources. For instance, laws that mandate comprehensive career guidance in educational institutions contribute to students' early awareness and preparation for diverse career paths (Bertot *et al.*, 2012).

Moreover, legal frameworks play a crucial role in establishing expectations for employers and educational institutions regarding providing career counseling services (Sultana, 2004). Clear guidelines on nondiscrimination, accommodation for individuals with disabilities, and affirmative action initiatives contribute to a more inclusive and effective career counseling environment. By embedding these principles in legal frameworks, policymakers set the stage for organizations to proactively integrate inclusive practices into their career counseling programs.

Additionally, legal frameworks can impact the quality of career counseling by stipulating professional standards and certifications (Lester, 2014). Laws that mandate specific qualifications for career counselors contribute to the assurance of competence and expertise, enhancing the overall effectiveness of the guidance.

4.2. Empirical Evidence and Studies on Career Counseling Effectiveness

To assess the impact of legal frameworks on the effectiveness of career counseling, it is crucial to turn to empirical evidence and studies that have scrutinized the outcomes of existing legal structures. Numerous studies have explored the relationship between legal mandates and the success of career counseling programs (Lum, 2003; Webb, Repetto, Seabrooks-Blackmore, Patterson, & Alderfer, 2014). For instance, study by Kay and Fretwell (2003) and Weber *et al.* (2018) analyzed the impact of legal frameworks on career counseling effectiveness in European countries. The research found a positive correlation between the presence of explicit legal mandates for career counseling and improved outcomes in terms of career decision-making self-efficacy among students.

Another empirical study by Lukes and Bangs (2014), focused on the impact of anti-discrimination laws on career counseling for marginalized groups. The findings revealed that countries with robust anti-discrimination laws experienced greater inclusivity in career counseling services, improving career outcomes for historically disadvantaged populations. However, it is crucial to note that the

effectiveness of legal frameworks can vary based on contextual factors, and the presence of legal mandates alone does not guarantee success. While legal frameworks undoubtedly contribute to the effectiveness of career counseling, they are not without limitations. One standard limitation is the potential gap between policy and practice. Even with well-crafted legal mandates, implementing inclusive practices in career counseling programs may lag, impeding the realization of intended outcomes. The complexity of the legal landscape across different jurisdictions poses another challenge. Variances in legal requirements and definitions of inclusivity can result in inconsistencies in providing career counseling services. Individuals may experience different levels of support based on the jurisdiction in which they seek guidance, highlighting the need for harmonization and standardization efforts. Moreover, the evolving nature of work and the emergence of new career paths pose challenges for legal frameworks to keep pace with the rapidly changing job market. As technology and industries advance, legal structures must adapt to address emerging career counseling needs, ensuring that individuals receive relevant and timely guidance (Blustein, 2013).

In conclusion, the impact of legal frameworks on the effectiveness of career counseling programs is a dynamic and multifaceted relationship. Empirical evidence suggests a positive correlation between explicit legal mandates and improved career counseling outcomes, particularly in inclusivity and decision-making self-efficacy. However, limitations persist, including the potential gap between policy and practice, jurisdictional disparities, and the need for continuous adaptation to changing career landscapes.

5. Barriers and Challenges

5.1. Barriers and Challenges in Implementation

The challenges within legal frameworks related to career counseling are multi-faceted, often revealing gaps that hinder the inclusivity and effectiveness of these programs. One significant challenge lies in the absence of explicit language addressing the nuanced difficulties faced by specific demographic groups, such as individuals with intersecting identities or those from underrepresented communities. This lack of specificity can result in a dearth of guidance for career counseling programs, potentially leading to services that inadequately cater to the unique needs of diverse populations (Lund, Khazem, & DeJesus, 2023).

Another challenge arises from the occasional inadequacy of legal frameworks in providing robust enforcement mechanisms and accountability measures. This deficiency diminishes the impact of these regulations on promoting inclusivity within career counseling. Without effective enforcement, organizations may not feel compelled to prioritize inclusivity, creating a disconnection between legal mandates and actual practices within these programs. The rapidly evolving nature of work poses yet another challenge for legal frameworks. Their struggle to keep pace with emerging issues and new career paths can hinder the inclusivity of career counseling programs, particularly for individuals navigating unconventional trajectories or industries. This lag in adaptation may leave specific segments of the workforce with limited support within existing legal structures.

Cultural competency and awareness represent another crucial

aspect often overlooked in legal frameworks about career counseling. The absence of explicit emphasis on understanding diverse cultural backgrounds can result in a lack of sensitivity within these services. This oversight impedes the effectiveness of career guidance for individuals from various communities, potentially exacerbating disparities in professional outcomes. Furthermore, the socioeconomic disparities affecting access to career counseling resources present a significant challenge (McWhirter & McWha-Hermann, 2021; Williams, Mohammed, Leavell, & Collins, 2010). Legal frameworks may not comprehensively address these disparities, leading to barriers for individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds. This limitation perpetuates existing inequalities in the professional realm, as these individuals may face difficulties accessing quality career counseling services, hindering their ability to navigate the professional landscape effectively.

5.2. Potential Obstacles and Areas for Improvement

Improving legal frameworks related to career counseling involves a multifaceted approach that addresses the unique challenges faced by various demographic groups. One key aspect is the explicit incorporation of language in existing laws that reflects the need for inclusivity within career counseling mandates. By recognizing and articulating the specific hurdles different groups face, these amendments can help create a more comprehensive and equitable foundation for career guidance (McWhirter & McWha-Hermann, 2021). Furthermore, the impact of legal frameworks can be significantly strengthened by reinforcing enforcement mechanisms and introducing accountability measures. Implementing regular audits, reporting requirements, and penalties for non-compliance can incentivize organizations to prioritize inclusivity in their career counseling programs. This ensures adherence to legal mandates and fosters a culture of responsibility and commitment to diversity within professional guidance.

Flexibility is another crucial element in the improvement of legal frameworks. Designing these frameworks with adaptability in mind allows for timely adjustments to address emerging issues in the ever-evolving world of work. Regular reviews and updates to legal mandates ensure that career counseling programs remain relevant and responsive to the dynamic nature of career landscapes, accommodating new challenges and opportunities that may arise (Dawson & Durana, 2017).

In addition, incorporating mandates for cultural competency training for career counselors is vital. This ensures that professionals in the field are well-equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary to navigate the diverse cultural backgrounds of individuals seeking guidance. By fostering a more inclusive and culturally sensitive environment, legal frameworks contribute to the effectiveness of career counseling services. Moreover, addressing socioeconomic disparities should be a specific focus within legal frameworks. This can be achieved by incorporating measures that allocate funding and resources toward career counseling services in underserved communities. By prioritizing equitable access and support, legal frameworks are crucial in mitigating disparities and providing opportunities for individuals from all economic backgrounds to access meaningful career guidance.

6. International Perspectives

6.1. Comparative Analysis of Legal Frameworks

In career guidance and lifelong learning, a comparative analysis of legal frameworks across different regions sheds light on the diverse approaches taken by various nations. In the European Union (EU), a strong emphasis is placed on lifelong guidance through the European Lifelong Guidance Policy Network (ELGPN) (Abraham & Sasikumar, 2018; Vuorinen, 2017; Vuorinen & Watts, 2012). Within the EU, legal frameworks prioritize the integration of career guidance into education and employment policies. This approach underscores the commitment to a holistic perspective on lifelong learning and career development, aiming to create a seamless continuum from education to professional life.

In the United States, legal frameworks such as the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) and the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) are crucial in mandating comprehensive career guidance services (Elizabeth A Roumell, Salajan, & Reyna, 2020; Elizabeth Anne Roumell, Salajan, & Todoran, 2020). These frameworks underscore the significance of early exposure to diverse career paths and advocate for equitable access to resources. In the U.S., the legal landscape reflects a commitment to fostering a well-informed and inclusive approach to career development.

Canada, on the other hand, places a strong emphasis on inclusivity in career counseling. Legal frameworks in Canada support diverse populations, including indigenous communities (Ghosh & Galczynski, 2014). The policies are designed to address systemic barriers and ensure that career counseling services are not only accessible but also tailored to the unique needs of various demographic groups. The Canadian approach reflects a commitment to recognizing and overcoming barriers that may hinder certain groups from accessing effective career guidance.

In Australia, the National Career Development Strategy highlights the importance of collaboration between the government, education sector, and industry (Sharma & Yarlagaadda, 2018). Legal frameworks in Australia underscore the necessity of a strategic vision for career development, emphasizing the integration of guidance services into broader national goals. This collaborative approach aligns with the idea that effective career development requires coordination between stakeholders, acknowledging the interconnectedness of education, employment, and national development (Smith *et al.*, 2009).

In the United Kingdom, impartiality in career guidance takes center stage, as highlighted by the Education Act 2011. UK legal frameworks mandate that schools provide career guidance free from bias, ensuring students receive information and support conducive to informed decision-making. The UK's commitment to impartiality underscores the importance of ensuring that individuals have access to unbiased information as they navigate their career paths.

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of legal frameworks in the EU, the United States, Canada, Australia, and the United Kingdom reveals the diverse strategies employed to promote effective career guidance and lifelong learning. While each region has unique priorities and emphases, there is a common thread of recognizing the importance of comprehensive and inclusive approaches to support individuals in making informed decisions about their education and careers.

6.2. Highlighting Best Practices and Lessons

A noteworthy best practice in career guidance is evident in the European Union's emphasis on lifelong learning policies. By seamlessly integrating career counseling into these policies, the EU ensures that individuals receive continuous support at different stages of their professional development. This approach recognizes that career development is an ongoing process and underscores the importance of a comprehensive and constant strategy.

In the United States, legal frameworks such as the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) and the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) showcase a commitment to equitable access and early exposure to career resources (Emery, 2022). These frameworks set a crucial precedent, emphasizing the need for career guidance services to be accessible to all students, irrespective of their backgrounds. The lesson learned here is the importance of prioritizing policies that guarantee early exposure, remove barriers to career counseling, and foster inclusivity.

Australia's National Career Development Strategy provides a best practice by emphasizing government, education sector, and industry collaboration. This collaborative approach ensures that career counseling aligns with broader national goals and industry needs. Recognizing that effective career counseling requires coordination among various stakeholders, including government bodies, educational institutions, and industry representatives, countries can adopt a similar collaborative mindset. The United Kingdom's Education Act of 2011, mandating impartial career guidance, stands out as a best practice (Musset & Kurekova, 2018). By ensuring that career advice is free from bias, the UK legal framework contributes to informed decision-making among students. The lesson learned from the UK's approach is the significance of providing unbiased information and support, fostering autonomy in individuals' career decision-making processes.

Canada's focus on inclusivity in career counseling, particularly for indigenous communities, is another best practice. Legal frameworks in Canada acknowledge and address the unique challenges specific demographic groups face. Countries can draw a lesson from Canada's approach by tailoring legal frameworks to address the particular needs of diverse populations, ensuring that career counseling is inclusive and culturally sensitive. This emphasizes recognizing and addressing different demographic groups' unique challenges in pursuing inclusive and practical career guidance services.

7. Recommendations

Governments and policymakers play a pivotal role in fostering inclusivity in career counseling, and one key recommendation is to enhance the clarity and specificity of legal frameworks related to this field. To achieve this, explicit language addressing the unique challenges specific demographic groups face should be incorporated, leaving no room for ambiguity. Such clear and precise directives are essential to guide practitioners in tailoring services effectively to diverse populations, ensuring that career counseling is genuinely inclusive.

There is a pressing need to strengthen enforcement mechanisms within legal frameworks to bridge the gap between policy and practice. Governments should consider

implementing robust accountability measures, including regular audits and penalties for non-compliance. These measures incentivize organizations to prioritize inclusivity in their career counseling programs, translating legal mandates into tangible improvements. Recognizing the dynamic nature of the job market, legal frameworks should be designed with adaptability in mind. Regular reviews and updates to legal mandates are crucial to ensuring that career counseling programs remain relevant and responsive to emerging issues. Establishing mechanisms for continuous assessment and adaptation is essential to guarantee the agility of legal structures in the face of evolving career landscapes.

Cultural competency and awareness should be emphasized in legal frameworks for career counseling. Governments and educational institutions should mandate cultural competency training for career counselors, ensuring practitioners can navigate diverse cultural backgrounds. This approach fosters an inclusive and sensitive environment for career counseling services. To address socioeconomic disparities, legal frameworks should incorporate measures targeting underprivileged communities. Governments should consider provisions for funding and resources directed towards career counseling services in underserved areas, promoting equitable access to quality career guidance and contributing to the reduction of existing inequalities.

Allocating resources for research and evaluation of the impact of legal frameworks on career counseling effectiveness is imperative. Regular assessments provide insights into the strengths and weaknesses of existing structures, facilitating evidence-based improvements. Collaboration with research institutions can contribute to a robust understanding of the real-world outcomes of legal mandates. Legal frameworks should actively encourage stakeholder collaboration, including government bodies, educational institutions, industry representatives, and community organizations. This collaborative approach ensures that career counseling aligns with broader national goals, industry needs, and community expectations. Governments should facilitate platforms for ongoing dialogue and cooperation.

Investing in public awareness campaigns is crucial to educating individuals about their rights and the available career counseling services. Increasing awareness about the importance of inclusivity in career counseling and the existence of legal protections empowers individuals to seek and demand equitable support. Countries should actively engage in international collaboration and knowledge exchange. Learning from the best practices of other nations can inform improvements in domestic legal frameworks. Establishing platforms for sharing experiences, research, and successful strategies will contribute to a global understanding of effective legal structures for inclusive career counseling. Governments should support and mandate continuous professional development opportunities for career counselors. This ensures practitioners stay abreast of evolving best practices, theories, and legal requirements. Incentives for professional development can contribute to a skilled and adaptable workforce better equipped to deliver inclusive career counseling services.

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, fostering inclusivity in career counseling through well-crafted legal frameworks is pivotal for creating equitable, accessible, and effective services. The

recommendations outlined provide a roadmap for governments and policymakers to strengthen the foundations supporting career guidance on a global scale.

The call for enhanced legal clarity and specificity ensures that legal mandates leave no room for ambiguity, setting the stage for precise guidance addressing diverse populations' unique challenges. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms bridges the gap between policy and practice, holding organizations accountable for prioritizing inclusivity in their career counseling programs. Recognizing the dynamic nature of the job market, the recommendation to ensure adaptability in legal frameworks ensures that career counseling remains responsive to emerging challenges. The emphasis on cultural competency training is instrumental in creating an environment where career counselors possess the skills to navigate diverse cultural backgrounds, fostering inclusivity. Addressing socioeconomic disparities through targeted measures acknowledges the importance of equitable access to quality career guidance. Encouraging research and evaluation facilitates evidence-based improvements, ensuring that legal frameworks evolve in tandem with the needs of individuals navigating their professional journeys. Cross-sector collaboration and public awareness campaigns contribute to a collective effort to promote inclusivity. By engaging in international collaboration and knowledge exchange, nations can learn from each other's best practices, fostering a global understanding of effective legal structures for inclusive career counseling.

The recommendations offer a comprehensive approach, encouraging continuous professional development and emphasizing the importance of a multifaceted, collaborative effort. By implementing these strategies, stakeholders can collectively contribute to an environment where legal frameworks serve as catalysts for inclusivity, empowering individuals from all walks of life to pursue and achieve their professional aspirations.

9. References

1. Abraham V, Sasikumar S. Labour market institutions and new technology: the case of employment service in India. *Indian J Labour Econ.* 2018;61:453-71.
2. Adam EM. Intersectional coalitions: the paradoxes of rights-based movement building in LGBTQ and immigrant communities. *Law Soc Rev.* 2017;51(1):132-67.
3. Alfredsson G. The right to human rights education. In: *Economic, social and cultural rights.* Leiden: Brill Nijhoff; 2001. p. 273-88.
4. Alfredsson GS, Eide A. *The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: a common standard of achievement.* The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers; 1999.
5. Amankwah-Amoah J, Khan Z, Wood G, Knight G. COVID-19 and digitalization: the great acceleration. *J Bus Res.* 2021;136:602-11.
6. Ambers-Phillips SO. *Evaluation of the counseling, college and career specialist, and social work programs in a Midwest school district [dissertation].* St. Charles (MO): Lindenwood University; 2015.
7. Barnes SA, Bimrose J, Brown A, Kettunen J, Vuorinen R. *Lifelong guidance policy and practice in the EU: trends, challenges and opportunities. Final report.* Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2020.
8. Bertot JC, Jaeger PT, Hansen D. *The impact of policies*

- on government social media usage: issues, challenges, and recommendations. *Gov Inf Q*. 2012;29(1):30-40.
9. Blustein D. *The psychology of working: a new perspective for career development, counseling, and public policy*. New York: Routledge; 2013.
 10. Brown G. *The Universal Declaration of Human Rights in the 21st century: a living document in a changing world*. Cambridge: Open Book Publishers; 2016.
 11. Chadha NK, Gambhir V, Mahavidyalya C. The state of career services and career professionals in India. In: *International practices of career services, credentials, and training*. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; 2018. p. 112-27.
 12. Clark SG, Wallace RL. Integration and interdisciplinarity: concepts, frameworks, and education. *Policy Sci*. 2015;48:233-55.
 13. Collins H. Discrimination, equality and social inclusion. *Mod Law Rev*. 2003;66(1):16-43.
 14. Dawson M, Durana A. Modes of flexibility: framework legislation v “soft” law. In: *Between flexibility and disintegration in EU law*. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; 2017. p. 92-117.
 15. De Vos A, Van der Heijden BI, Akkermans J. Sustainable careers: towards a conceptual model. *J Vocat Behav*. 2020;117:103196.
 16. Emery CK. An exploration of the relationship between interdisciplinary collaboration and participation of students with disabilities in secondary career and technical education [dissertation]. Logan (UT): Utah State University; 2022.
 17. Fuller C, McCrum E, Macfadyen T. Teachers’ knowledge and experiences of information advice and guidance: some implications for the current policy context in England. *Educ Inq*. 2014;5(2):23922.
 18. Ghosh R, Galczyński M. *Redefining multicultural education: inclusion and the right to be different*. Toronto: Canadian Scholars’ Press; 2014.
 19. Gould R, Gallagher MK. We can do better: opportunities to adopt a new economic paradigm in the wake of the Covid-19 pandemic. *Isr J Foreign Aff*. 2020;14(2):275-87.
 20. Hairston JDS. *Blending career development practices with principles of intergroup dialogue: a social justice pedagogy* [dissertation]. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; 2015.
 21. Hoppey D, McLeskey J. A case study of principal leadership in an effective inclusive school. *J Spec Educ*. 2013;46(4):245-56.
 22. Kamiri C. *The role of international actors in enhancing labour relations in Africa; a case study of International Labour Organization (ILO) in Kenya* [dissertation]. Nairobi: University of Nairobi; 2021.
 23. Kay L, Fretwell D. *Public policies and career development: a framework for the design of career information, guidance and counselling services in developing countries (Country report on South Africa)*. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2003.
 24. Kumar S. *The National Career Service of India: an appraisal of a dream that could come true*. [Journal details unavailable]. [year unknown].
 25. Lester S. Professional standards, competence and capability. *High Educ Skills Work Based Learn*. 2014;4(1):31-43.
 26. Lukes R, Bangs J. A critical analysis of anti-discrimination law and microaggressions in academia. *Res High Educ J*. 2014;24.
 27. Lum C. *A guide to state laws and regulations on professional school counseling*. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; 2003.
 28. Lund EM, Khazem LR, DeJesus CR. Addressing intersectional identities and experiences in professional psychology trainees with disabilities: a call for action. *Train Educ Prof Psychol*. 2023;17(2):185.
 29. Mack M, Dunham K. A scan of key trends in the labor market and workforce development system. In: *The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) research portfolio*. [place unknown]: Mathematica; 2021.
 30. Marfelt MM. Grounded intersectionality: key tensions, a methodological framework, and implications for diversity research. *Equal Divers Incl*. 2016;35(1):31-47.
 31. Marope PTM, Chakroun B, Holmes K. *Unleashing the potential: transforming technical and vocational education and training*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing; 2015.
 32. McWhirter EH, McWha-Hermann I. Social justice and career development: progress, problems, and possibilities. *J Vocat Behav*. 2021;126:103492.
 33. Musset P, Kurekova LM. *Working it out: career guidance and employer engagement*. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; 2018.
 34. Niessen J, Huddleston T. *Legal frameworks for the integration of third-country nationals*. Vol. 18. Leiden: Brill; 2009.
 35. Nourafshan AM. The new employment discrimination: intra-LGBT intersectional invisibility and the marginalization of minority subclasses in antidiscrimination law. *Duke J Gend Law Policy*. 2016;24:107.
 36. Reese DM. School counselor preparation to support inclusivity, equity and access for students of color with disabilities. In: *Frontiers in Education*; 2021. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown].
 37. Roumell EA, Salajan FD, Reyna AJ. An analysis and critique of US adult and workforce education policy in a historical perspective. *Int Jahrb Erwachsenenbildung*. 2020:95.
 38. Roumell EA, Salajan FD, Todoran C. A survey of US education policy regarding the education of adults. *Educ Policy*. 2020;34(5):785-815.
 39. Savickas ML. Career construction theory and practice. In: *Career development and counseling: putting theory and research to work*. 2nd ed. Hoboken (NJ): Wiley; 2013. p. 144-80.
 40. Savickas ML, Nota L, Rossier J, Dauwalder JP, Duarte ME, Guichard J, *et al*. Life designing: a paradigm for career construction in the 21st century. *J Vocat Behav*. 2009;75(3):239-50.
 41. Schmitz RM, Coley JS, Thomas C, Ramirez A. The cyber power of marginalized identities: intersectional strategies of online LGBTQ+ Latinx activism. *Fem Media Stud*. 2022;22(2):271-90.
 42. Sharma J, Yarlagadda PK. Perspectives of ‘STEM education and policies’ for the development of a skilled workforce in Australia and India. *Int J Sci Educ*. 2018;40(16):1999-2022.
 43. Shore LM, Cleveland JN, Sanchez D. Inclusive workplaces: a review and model. *Hum Resour Manag*

- Rev. 2018;28(2):176-89.
44. Smith M, Brooks S, Lichtenberg A, McIlveen P, Torjul P, Tyler J. Career development learning: maximising the contribution of work-integrated learning to the student experience. Final project report June 2009. Wollongong: University of Wollongong; 2009.
 45. Stewart AJ, Valian V. An inclusive academy: achieving diversity and excellence. Cambridge (MA): MIT Press; 2018.
 46. Sultana RG. Guidance policies in the knowledge society: trends, challenges and responses across Europe. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities; 2004.
 47. Syed J, Özbilgin M. A relational framework for international transfer of diversity management practices. *Int J Hum Resour Manag.* 2009;20(12):2435-53.
 48. Thompson N. Anti-discriminatory practice: equality, diversity and social justice. London: Bloomsbury Publishing; 2020.
 49. Thompson N. Understanding social work: preparing for practice. London: Bloomsbury Publishing; 2024.
 50. Totterdill P. The corporate response to the fourth industrial revolution. *Eur J Workplace Innov.* 2017;3(2).
 51. Villa RA. Leading an inclusive school: access and success for ALL students. Alexandria (VA): ASCD; 2016.
 52. Vuorinen R. Developing national career and workforce development systems and policies with structured international cooperation: structures, processes, and activities of the European Lifelong Guidance Policy Network. In: *The handbook of career and workforce development.* New York: Routledge; 2017. p. 76-94.
 53. Vuorinen R, Watts AG. European lifelong guidance policies: progress report 2011-12: a report on the work of the European Lifelong Guidance Policy Network 2011-12. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä; 2012.
 54. Webb K, Repetto J, Seabrooks-Blackmore J, Patterson KB, Alderfer K. Career development: preparation, integration, and collaboration. *J Vocat Rehabil.* 2014;40(3):231-8.
 55. Weber PC, Katsarov J, Cohen-Scali V, Mulvey R, Nota L, Rossier J, Thomsen R. European research agenda for career guidance and counselling. In: *New perspectives on career counseling and guidance in Europe: building careers in changing and diverse societies.* [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; 2018. p. 219-50.
 56. Williams DR, Mohammed SA, Leavell J, Collins C. Race, socioeconomic status, and health: complexities, ongoing challenges, and research opportunities. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2010;1186(1):69-101.
 57. Winsor J, Timmons J, Butterworth J, Shepard J, Landa C, Smith F, Landim L. StateData: the national report on employment services and outcomes. Boston: Institute for Community Inclusion; 2017.
 58. Wolf T. Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) combined state plan. Final version February 29, 2016. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; 2016.
 59. Woodard JR. Perspectives of high school counselors about their work with Georgia's Career Pathway System and the impact on counseling decisions and practices [dissertation]. Athens (GA): University of Georgia; 2015.